

AG lit. review questions: week 3

MT-semantics

- (Q1) What is an MT-structure for a first-order language?
- (Q2) How does the syntax of the language of generalized quantifiers differ from a first-order language?
- (Q3) What about its semantics?
- (Q4) Is extensionality an acceptable idealization in this context?
- (Q5) Can the absolutist capture the intended interpretation of a mathematical theory or a natural language as an MT-interpretation?
- (Q6) Can the relativist?

P- and SP-semantics

- (Q7) How does ‘ideology’ differ from ‘ontology’?
- (Q8) How does the P-semantics for a first-order language differ from its MT-counterpart?
- (Q9) Can the absolutist capture the intended interpretation of a mathematical theory or a natural language as a P-interpretation?
- (Q10) How might an absolutist encode the extension of a quantifier whose domain is absolutely comprehensive? What about its determiner?
- (Q11) Is there a more ideologically minimal way to do so?
- (Q12) Can the absolutist make sense of plural quantification over the absolutely comprehensive domain?
- (Q13) What about superplural quantification?

Semantic Optimism

- (Q14) Does Semantic Optimism refute Quineanism about non-first-order resources?
- (Q15) Do we have any good reason to accept Semantic Optimism?